2 I -	2 0
dria (d. 215?) and Origen (d. 254) [echoed two centuries later by Jerome (d. 420)] claimed that children conceived during men- struation were born impaired. As Jerome wrote: "When a man has intercourse with his wife at this time, the children born from	
having her period was torbidden because children conceived dur- ing menstruation were sick, or had purulent blood serum, or were born dead (<i>Natural History</i> 7, 15, 87). Around the year 200 the Church Fathers Clement of Alexan-	Source: Ranke-Heinemann, Uta, Trans. by Peter Heinegg / Eunuchs for the Kingdom of Heaven, 1990, pp. 21-26. Notice: This material may be protected by copyright law - Title 17 U.S. Code
both Jews and pagans were convinced that menstrual blood was, in effect, poisonous. But whereas for Philo the menses damaged semen so that conception could not occur, the Roman naturalist Pliny the Elder (d. 79 A.D.) maintained that sex with a woman	
anyone who touches her or anything she has touched or anything touched by someone she has touched is also unclean. In Antiquity	works toward the desolation and depopulation of the cities when he destroys his seed" (On the Individual Laws 3, $37-42$).
alty. We do learn, however, in Leviticus 15:19–24 that God de- fines a menstruating woman as unclean for seven days, and	himself, his house, his fatherland, and the whole human race because he pursues unnatural pleasure and for his part
both of them shall be cut off from among their people." The Old Testament itself does not explain this atrocious pen-	ture, should be killed without hesitation, and should not be al- lowed to live a day, indeed not for an hour, since he shames
her sickness, and uncovers her nakedness, he has made naked her fountain, and she has uncovered the fountain of her blood;	against these men, in accordance with the prescription of the Law, that the effeminate man, who falsifies the stamp of na-
(On the Individual Laws, 3, 6, 32). This is Philo's justification for the ban in Leviticus 20:18: "If a man lies with a woman having	thought like a Greek about many things, was altogether Jewish in his aversion to homosexuality: "One must proceed ruthlessly
and so he forbids intercourse with menstruating women. Fresh menstrual blood, he says, keeps the womb moist, and "Moisture	demns homosexuals: "Like a bad farmer, the homosexual lets the fertile land lie fallow and toils night and day with the sort
U <i>ne particular taboo</i> of Antiquity that Christianity went along with prohibited intercourse with a menstruating woman. Philo, like the physician Soranus of Ephesus (second century A.D.), argues that conception cannot take place during menstruation,	Philo sharply criticizes contraception: "Those who during in- tercourse bring about the destruction of the seed are undoubt- edly enemies of nature" (On the Individual Laws 3, 36). And because of the sterility of their sexual acts he also sharply con-
The Ancient Taboo Against Menstrual Blood and Its Christian Consequences	contracted, then it is partuoliable for the nuclear of the unstant of the unstant of the last ramifications of this notion that marriage has to be a procreative fellowship were not removed from Canon Law until 1977: Now the husband need only be capable of having intercourse, not of having children, in order to contract a valid
II	sensual pleasure, and that must be condemned. But if the infer- tility of the wife is determined only after the marriage has been

EUNUCHS FOR THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN

Uta Ranke-Heinemann

EV Ban Wc	<i>xrs</i> , p. 48). InIn the following centuries, thanks to medical progress, the idely read foridely read fornotion that the handicapped were conceived during menstruations that, "Afterwas slowly abandoned. By the sixteenth century Luther's adver- sary, Cardinal Cajetan (d. 1534), spoke of intercourse during menstruation as only a "venial sin" (Summula Peccatorum 1526, under the heading "matrimonium"). Thomas Sanchez (d. 1610),		
this union are leprous and hydrocephalic; and the corrupted blood causes the plague-ridden bodies of both sexes to be either too small or too large" (<i>Commentary on Ezekiel</i> 18, 6). "Whoever has relations with his wife during her period," warns Archbishop Caesarius of Arles (d. 542), "will have children that are either leprous or epileptic or possessed by the Devil	(Peter Browe, Beiträge zur Sexualethik des Mittelalters, p. 48). In his encyclopedic work Etymologies, which was widely read for hundreds of years, Isidore of Seville (d. 636) states that, "After touching [menstrual blood] fruits do not sprout, blossoms fade, grasses wither iron rusts, brass turns black, dogs that taste it get rabies" (Browe, p. 2). Like Philo, Isidore thought that the	damage done to semen during menstruation made conception impossible. According to Abbot Regino of Prüm in Eifel (d. 915) and Burchard of Worms (d. 1025), the priest in the confessional had to ask about intercourse during menstruation. The major theologians of the thirteenth century, men such as Albert the Great, Thomas Aquinas, and Duns Scotus, forbid intercourse with a menstruating woman as a mortal sin on account of the harm to the children. Berthold of Regensburg (d. 1272), the	most celebrated preacher in the same century, makes it clear to his listeners: "You will have no joy from any children conceived during the menses. For they will either be afflicted by the devil, or lepers, or epileptics, or humpbacked, or blind, or crook-legged, or dumb, or idiots, or they will have heads like a mallet \ldots and should you have been away from your wife for four weeks, indeed, should you have been away for two years, you should take good care not to desire her \ldots . You are, after all, upright people, and you see that a stinking Jew avoids this time with great diligence" (F. Göbel, <i>Die</i> <i>Missionspredigten des Franziskaners Berthold von Regensburg</i> , 1857, pp. 354–55). Berthold mentions the Jews ("stinking" Jews, as Christian anti-Semitism would have it) because in the Middle Ages the fact that so few Jews contracted leprosy was often ex- plained by their careful avoidance of intercourse with menstru- ating women. The peasants, on the other hand, were especially prone to leprosy; and Berthold attributes this to their having in- tercourse with their wives during menstruation (Browe, p. 4).

EUNUCHS FOR THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN

Uta Ranke-Heinemann

23

2 2

Uta Ranke-Heinemann	EUNUCHS FOR THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN
The idea of a menstruating woman's receiving Holy Commun-	do not go in, they stand as if they were in the pillory," as the
ion was consistently frowned upon all the way into the Middle	church record reports (cf. Browe, p. 14).
Ages, although more severely in the Eastern Church than in the	Menstruation proved to be especially fatal to women's chances
West. Patriarch Dionysus of Alexandria (d. 264/65), a disciple of	for holding church offices. Theodore of Balsamon writes that,
Origen, said it was pointless to ask whether a woman might thus	"At one time deaconesses used to be ordained in keeping with the
take Communion, "because pious, devout women would never	laws of the Church. They were allowed to approach the altar, but
even think of touching the sacred table or the Body and Blood of	because of their monthly impurity they were ousted from their
the Lord" (<i>Ep. can.</i> ² , PG 10, 1281 A). The papal legate Cardinal	place in the liturgy and from the holy altar. In the honorable
Humbert, who in 1054 brought about the great Schism between	church of Constantinople deaconesses are still selected, but they
the Eastern and Western Church that took place in Constanti-	no longer have access to the altar" (Response ad interrogationes
nople, criticized the Greek Church for this discriminatory prac-	Marci [resp. 35]; cf. Ida Raming, Der Ausschluss der Frau vom
	priestlichen Amt, 1973, p. 39).
Church, jurist Theodore of Balsamon (d. after 1195), patriarch	Blood from childbirth (lochia) was considered still more harm-
~	ful than menstrual blood, which prompted bans on intercourse
Alexandria Cyril III (d. 1243). The Maronites did not abolish it	similar to those that applied to menstruating women. Women
until 1596 (cf. Browe, pp. 9 and 10).	who had just given birth caused additional problems for the an-
The West took a more moderate position. Pope Gregory the	tisexual Christian Church, for example when they had to be
Great (d. 604) did not forbid menstruating women from coming	buried. To begin with, according to the Synod of Trier, which
to church or receiving Communion, but he praised women who	was held in 1227, new mothers had to be "reconciled with the
abstained from the Eucharist at this time. For Gregory menstru-	Church." Only then would they be allowed to go to church. This
ation is the result of sin: Women should not "be forbidden to go	"churching" ceremony, as it is now called, was an amalgam of
to church. Nor should they be forbidden to receive Communion	Jewish laws of ritual purity (even Mary was not allowed to re-
in these days. But when a women does not dare, because of her	enter the Temple until forty days had passed and a sacrifice of
great reverence, to go there, she is to be praised. The menstrual	purification had been offered) with a characteristically Christian
period is no sin, it is a purely natural event. But the fact that	pillorying of sexual pleasure and defamation of women.
nature is so perverse, that it appears stained even without man's	Women who died in childbirth before being "reconciled" with
will, that comes from a sin" (Response to the English Bishop Au-	the Church were often denied burial in the cemetery. Several
gustine, 10th Answer).	synods—-Rouen in 1074 and Cologne in 1279—opposed this policy
This imbalance led to conflicting legislation in the West. In	and argued for the same kind of burial that other Christians got
some cases Communion was forbidden to women having their	(Browe, p. 20). Writing to Elector Johann of Saxony in 1530 on
period, in others it was permitted. The Prague canon Matthias of	behalf of the Imperial Diet of Augsburg, Martin Luther notes that
Janow (d. 1394), for example, attacked priests who would not	in the papal Church, "Women who die in childbirth are buried in
admit such women to the Eucharist. He argued that priests	a special ceremony." They were not laid out, as others would be,
should not inquire about such matters in the confessional, "since	in the middle of the Church, but at the door (Briefwechsel 7, Calw/
that is neither necessary nor useful nor decent" (Browe, p. 14).	Stuttgart 1897, p. 258). In the diocese of Ghent, as a deanery con-
But as late as 1684 in the Black Forest village of Deckenpfronn	ference of 1632 prescribed, women who died before they could be
menstruating women stood outside the church door "and actually	churched were buried in secret (Browe, p. 21).
2 4	25

Uta Ranke-Heinemann	EUNUCHS FOR THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN
Though they had a long struggle for the right to a normal burial, women who had just given birth had to struggle even longer for the right to return to church without undergoing a special purification. On January 13, 1199, Pope Innocent III imposed an interdict on France because the French King was living in an invalid marriage with his mistress Agnes of Meran. The interdict ordered all the churches in France to be closed and	by herself to church, where the pastor 'churched' her. Only then could she attend services again."
to be opened only for infant baptisms. The Pope "strictly" forbade women to come to church for purification, and since they had not been "churched," they were also not permitted to take part in the	THE NEW TESTAMENT, AND HOW IT WAS
baptism of their children. Only after the interdict was lifted could they be readmitted by the priest. The interdict lasted over a year, until the King dismissed Agnes of Meran.	MISUNDERSTOOD: THE VIRGIN BIRTH, CELIBACY, AND THE REMARRIAGE
I his was in contradiction to what the same Pope, Innocent III, had written in 1198 to the Archbishop of Armagh, in reply to the question of whether the Mosaic law on women who had just	OF DIVORCED PERSONS
given birth was still applicable in the Church. No, said Innocent, "but if women prefer to stay away from church for a while out of	\mathbf{L} <i>n</i> the development of Christian sexual morality the immediate determining influences were Judaism and Gnosticism: Judaism as
reverence, we believe we cannot reprimand them $(Ep. 1, 63; ct. Browe, p. 26)$. When it comes to discriminating against women, the Both-And approach, on the one hand yes, on the other hand	we found it in a contemporary of the first Christians, namely Philo of Alexandria (d. ca. 45–50 A.D.); and Gnosticism, insofar as it promoted the ideal of celibacv and subordinated marriage to
no, has always been useful. The custom of purifying women after childbirth has lasted	the single life. It is true that Christians resisted the invasion of Gnostic pessimism, and that during the first Christian centuries
almost up to the present. The <i>Kirchenlexikon</i> of Wetzer/Welte (1886) describes "churching" in this way: "Like the catechumens	the Gnostics were the peculiar opponents of the Christians. But the idealization of virginity as closer to God was adopted by the
and penitents, the woman who has just had a child must first stand, or kneel, outside the church door; and only when she has been solemnly purified by sprinkling with holy water and the	Christians from their opponents; and it even infiltrated the New Testament, although only to a small extent. Thus in Revelation John smalls of the 144 000 mbs sizes assu-
prayer of the priest is she led into the church. This is similar to what still happens today with catechumens and to what used to	song before the throne of God: "It is these who have not defiled themselves with women, for they are virgins; it is these who
happen before with public penitents on Holy Thursday" (Wetzer/ Welte I, 1711). As late as the 1960s the practice of "churching" was still strictly adhered to. In 1987 a woman wrote mease fol-	follow the Lamb wherever he goes; these have been redeemed from mankind as first fruits for God and the Lamb" (Rev. 14:4).
lows: "I can recall how terribly ashamed my mother once was. In 1960 my younger sister was born. My mother was not allowed to	over the Jewish legacy of the Old Testament, which never talks like that. In the next verse Revelation does quote Isaiah 53:9,
be present at the baptism because she had not yet been 'churched.' Some time later in the afternoon she sneaked off all	"And in their mouth no lie was found, for they are spotless," but Isaiah has nothing in that passage about the "virginally pure."

26